ஆனால், எனக்குத் தெரிந்த வரையில் என்ன மாறுதல் ஏற்பட்டிருக்கிறதென்றால், துராக்கிருதமாக எங்கு சாகுபடி செய்யக்கூடாதோ அங்கு போய் சாகுபடி செய்வதுதான் ஏற்பட்டிருக்கும் மாறுதல்.''

* 2177 *Q.*—SRI S. RAMALINGAM (on behalf of Sri A. Ratnam): Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state with reference to unstarred question No. 123, dated 6th August 1954—

(*a*) whether the Government have given any loans to Harijans in the South Arcot district in the year 1953–54 or assigned any lands to them in that year; and

(*b*) if so, the extent of lands and the amount of loans given to Harijans for cultivation in that year?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—'' (*a*) & (*b*) Yes. The amount of loans given to Harijans in 1953–54 is Rs. 6,250. The extent of lands assigned to Harijans in that year is 3·54 acres.''

* 2178 [a] *Q.*—SRI A. MUTHUSWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state the area of poramboke, unassessed waste and sivoijama lands now available in Tirukoilur taluk and the area under enjoyment of Harijans?

| | Acs. |
|---|---|
| THE HON. SRI M.A. MANICKAVELU :—(1) Total extent of poramboke lands .. .. .. | 50,662 |
| '' (2) Total extent of unassessed waste lands .. | 6,404 |
| '' (3) Extent of poramboke lands under the occupation of sivoijamadars, [out of the total extent under item (1) above] .. .. .. | 1,881 |
| '' (4) Extent of assessed waste lands under the enjoyment of sivoijamadars [out of the total extent under item (2) above] .. .. .. | 2,303 |
| '' (5) Extent of poramboke and unassessed waste lands under occupation of Harijans [out of the extents under items (3) and (4) above] .. | 2,306'' |

*Compulsory retirement of some permanent employees of the former Pudukkottai State*

* 2199 *Q.*—SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of permanent employees of the former Pudukkottai State Government Service compulsorily retired from service without absorption into the Madras State Service after merger of Pudukkottai State with Madras State; and

(*b*) the terms of such forcible retirement and the amount of compensation paid for such loss of career?

[a] Postponed from the list of questions for 22nd August 1955.

[23rd August 1955

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (a) 344.

" (b) Such of those employees as could not be absorbed permanently in the Madras Government Service for want of suitable corresponding posts or vacancy, or for their unsuitability, or unwillingness or otherwise to hold the post as a result of merger were compulsorily retired from service.

All were granted the proportionate pension normally admissible under the rules plus the compensation for the loss of career at the rates prescribed in G.O. Ms. No. 2494, Public (Political), dated 15th September 1953, except in the case of two Head constables compulsorily retired from service for their grave misconduct on specific charges, who were sanctioned only the pension due to them. So far Government have ordered the payment of a total sum of Rs. 38,465 as compensation to 338 employees. Payment of similar compensation in respect of two employees of Public Health Department and two peons of the Factories Department is still under consideration."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Is it a fact that in computing the proportionate pension of the compulsorily retired officials, the period covered by the forcible retirement of these officials is not taken into account? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, only the period they have served will have to be taken into account for giving proportionate pension. Otherwise, it ceases to be proportionate pension and we will have to give full pension to them."

SRI S. SWAYAMPRAKASAM :—" May I know how many persons who were qualified for absorption were compulsorily retired for want of vacancies? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, I do not have that classification. But, the total number I have already given as 344."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Sir, may I know why the period covered by compulsory retirement which was forced on them because of the failure of the Madras Government to provide corresponding posts to them, should not be taken into account in computing their full pension? May I know why that period should be excluded for no fault of theirs? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, the point is this. Whoever was qualified to be absorbed here was absorbed with some relaxation of certain rules wherever necessary. But, the total unqualified persons certainly could not be absorbed and in respect of persons for whom there were not corresponding posts here, they also could not be absorbed. In all these cases, they were compulsorily retired after a particular date and with reference to all of them, they were given proportionate pension. It was open to them to get employment elsewhere and earn further if they want."

23rd August 1955]

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" While emphasising my point with reference to permanent officials only and not to temporary or unqualified persons, they, the permanent officials, are entitled to be absorbed or to be employed in some post or other after merger and they cannot be summarily dismissed for want of vacancies or any such thing. If such of these people are dismissed for no fault of theirs, is it not right and proper to give them full pension."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, there is no use of arguing at the time of putting a question. I have already said that we are governed by certain Government Orders in respect of the absorption of these personnel. In pursuance of those G.Os., whoever was eligible for appointment has been given appointment and whoever did not come under the G.O. was not eligible and he has been given proportionate pension. There is no use of arguing about it now."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" On a point of order, Sir. I was only asking for information to which I am entitled. There was no question of argument. I do not know why the Hon. Minister should get angry and flare up at my supplementary question." 8-50 a.m.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I did not get flared up. If the hon. Member thinks so, he is mistaken. I told him that I can only give facts here. If he wanted that the policy itself should be changed, I said, this was not the time."

*Permanent and temporary cinema theatres in the State*

* 2200 *Q*.—SRI K. PARTHASARATHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of permanent and temporary cinema theatres in the State on the 1st February 1955;

(*b*) the restrictions imposed in respect of sites for constructing temporary cinema sheds; and

(*c*) whether the Government propose to remove any of the restrictions?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) There were 313 permanent cinemas and 195 temporary cinemas on the 1st February 1955 in this State.

" (*b*) A statement [a] giving the particulars is placed on the table of the House.

" (*c*) The Government do not propose to remove any of the restrictions."

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" ஸார், சமீபத்தில் சினபோட்டோகிராப் சட்டப் பிரகாரம் விதிகள் தயாரிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறதா அல்லது தயாரிக்கப் படாமலிருந்தால் எப்பொழுது தயாரிக்கப்படும் என்பதைக் கேட்க விரும்புகிறேன்."

---

a Printed as Appendix I on pages 568–569 infra.